

**Petersfield Society Comments on Planning Applications to be considered by  
Petersfield Town Council Planning Committee at its meeting on  
Tuesday 11 October 2021.**

**SDNP/21/004828/TEL**

**INSTALLATION OF 10M WOODEN TELEGRAPH POLE**

Junction of Oakland's Road with Stanton Road, Petersfield  
Mr R Ward

**No Objection**

**SDNP/21/02462/FUL**

**CHANGE OF USE OF LAND (PENNS B) FROM 'INFORMAL OUTDOOR  
RECREATION' TO 'SPORT AND OUTDOOR RECREATION' WITH THE  
INSTALLATION OF TWO ADDITIONAL PITCHES**

Petersfield Rugby Football Club, Penns Place, Petersfield  
Mr S Barden

**No Objection** This re-submission is on land designated as a 'New Sport/Recreation Allocation C11' in the Petersfield Neighbourhood Plan. Provided the Planning Authority is satisfied the earlier objections (to loss of hedgerows/biodiversity habitat etc) have been overcome then we support this application at this important Sports hub in the town.

**SDNP/21/03104/HOUS**

**CONVERSION OF GARAGE INTO HABITABLE SPACE WITH ADDITION OF BAY  
WINDOW TO FRONT, FRONT INFILL EXTENSION AND ALTERATIONS TO THE  
ROOF (DESCRIPTION AMENDED 23.09.2021)**

5 Pulens Crescent, Petersfield  
Mr & Mrs White

**No Objection.** This is a well-designed incorporation of the garage into the dwelling.

**SDNP/21/03755/FUL**

**DEMOLITION OF THE EXISTING BULMER HOUSE AND CONSTRUCTION OF A  
NEW 56 APARTMENT EXTRA CARE SCHEME AND DAY CENTRE WITH  
ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING**

Bulmer House, 4 Ramshill, Petersfield GU31 4AP  
Steve Hogben

**Objection:** The principle of a care home has for some time been established as appropriate although the debate about how affordable it will be for lower income residents. However, the use is not in question. The main issue at this time is with the design which is not seen as being up to an acceptable high quality for a major building of this kind as it is dull and expresses an unfortunate institutional air. The design statement lists several buildings in Petersfield of traditional configuration where it is claimed that reference to the scheme proposal has been incorporated. The building is essentially of a flat roofed design with the perimeter shown as a steeply pitched slate finished surface. This gives more the impression of Castle Gardens in Swan Street than the examples referred to. Petersfield simply deserves better. There are also questions relating to the

proposed parking standard i.e. numbers provided that need to be better justified. A revised scheme design should be considered and put forward for a future round of consultation.

**SDNP/21/04026/CND**

**VARIATION OF CONDITION 8 OF SDNP/20/01835/FUL TO ALLOW SUBSTITUTION OF APPROVED PLAN NUMBER 883/09 WITH PLAN NUMBER 883/09A TO FACILITATE THE ADDITION OF RAILINGS TO THE EAST BOUNDARY TO COLLEGE STREET WITH AMENDED BOUNDARY WALL**

R C Lacey Dental laboratory, 1 A Barham Road, Petersfield  
Dr A Khalessi

**No Comment: This application has already been determined.**

**SDNP/21/04142/HOUS**

**LOFT CONVERSION TO FIRST FLOOR ABOVE GARAGE AND LOFT CONVERSION TO CREATE SECOND FLOOR WITH THREE DORMERS AT THE FRONT AND ONE DORMER AT THE REAR**

1 Heathfield Road, Petersfield  
Mr Salter

**Objection.** The principle of development is fine, but the design conflicts with the provisions of the recent South Downs Draft Design Guide. In particular the dormer windows are too big and disproportionate to the host building; this conflicts with Section 4 of that important and up-to-date Guide.

**SDNP/21/04146/CND**

**VARIATION OF CONDITIONS 6 AND 8 OF PERMISSION SDNP/20/01808/HOUS TO ALLOW CONDITION 6 TO READ AS FOLLOWS:**

**PRIOR TO THE FIRST OCCUPATION OF THE DEVELOPMENT HEREBY PERMITTED, A MINIMUM OF ONE BAT/BIRD BOX SHALL BE INSTALLED ON THE SITE. THE BAT/BIRD BOX SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS AND SHALL BE RETAINED AND MAINTAINED IN A CONDITION SUITABLE TO IT'S INTENDED FUNCTION AND REMOVAL OF THE NEED TO PROVIDE A SEDUM ROOF. VARIATION OF CONDITION 8 TO ALLOW SUBSTITUTION OF PLAN 2018\_p100 PROPOSED ELEVATIONS TO FACILITATE ALTERATIONS TO THE FENESTRATION INCLUDING ADDITIONAL MULLIONS AND TRANSOM BARS AS PER THE ATTACHED ELEVATION DRAWING WHICH REMAIN MORE IN KEEPING WITH THE ORIGINAL HOUSE. THE LARGE SLIDING DOORS ARE TO BE REPLACED WITH MORE MODEST CENTRAL OPENING HINGED DOORS**

9 Shear Hill, Petersfield  
Mr & Mrs Thomas

**No Objection**

**SDNP/21/04282/HOUS**

**CONVERSION OF GARAGE TO EN-SUITE AND HOME OFFICE, AND EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL ALTERATIONS**

35 Upper Heyshott, Petersfield  
Mr Gent & Ms Montague

**No Objection**

**SDNP/21/04337/FUL**

**THREE NEW WINDOWS AT FIRST FLOOR LEVEL ON THE NORTH AND EAST ELEVATION OVERLOOKING THE PUBLIC CAR PARK AND SERVICE YARD**

Picketts & Pursers (Michael Pickett) Ltd, 9 Rams Walk, Petersfield  
Sarah Nutbrown

**No Objection:** Additional windows to the first floor offices above Picketts and Pursers would appear appropriate although one could argue that it makes the gable end facing the car park slightly out of balance.

**SDNP/21/04417/HOUS**

**MOVE VEHICULAR CROSSOVER TO LEFT AWAY FROM BUS STOP AND EXTEND THE DROPPED KERB, NEW BOUNDARY WALL FOLLOWING REMOVAL OF IRON RAILINGS**

Meadowsweet, 50A Ramshill, Petersfield  
Mrs R Reynolds

**No objection** to the re-sited crossover, but **Objection to the new high brick wall**. The frontages to the busy road between Barentin Way and Kingsfernsden Lane are either black railings or attractive low flint walls with brick copings. The proposed 1.83m high brick wall with heavy piers would be out of keeping with the local frontage. We suggest a lower wall would be more in keeping.

**SDNP/21/04444/HOUS**

**SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION FOLLOWING DEMOLITION OF EXISTING CONSERVATORY**

11 Bepton Down, Petersfield  
Mr & Mrs Leete

**No Objection**

**SDNP/21/04473/HOUS**

**EXTERNAL INSULATION AND RENEWABLE UPGRADE, INCREASED ROOF PITCH WITH ACCOMMODATION, SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION, TWO STOREY REAR EXTENSION**

Windward, Reservoir Lane, Petersfield  
Mr J Allen

**No Objection:** The existing dwelling is of no particular architectural interest. The proposed changes to volume and appearance will add some visual quality. The main concern may be the raised ridge line and dormer windows facing west. The impact on the wider landscape is of significant importance within the SDNP Local Plan but impossible to accurately assess from the submitted plans. The west facing dormer windows will in addition face the future H11 self build site but are unlikely to be classified as being overlooking due to the distance. It is assumed that the case officer will deal with these matters.

NOTE: One of our Trustees knows the applicant in this case, but has had no input to these comments.

**SDNP/21/04480/HOUS**

**TILED ROOF OVER EXISTING CONSERVATORY TO REAR AND NEW REPLACEMENT WINDOWS**

11 Upper Wardown, Petersfield

Mr M Heap

**No Objection**

**SDNP/21/04531/LDP**

**LAWFUL DEVELOPMENT CERTIFICATE PROPOSED – CONVERSION OF GARAGE TO HABITABLE ACCOMMODATION**

74 Clare Gardens, Petersfield

Mr P Cuss

**No Comment** This application will be assessed by the LPA to determine whether the proposal is ‘permitted development’.

**SDNP/21/04534/HOUS**

**TIMBER CLADDING TO EXISTING GARAGE AND GARDEN STORE ATTACHED**

21 Drove Road, Petersfield

Mr C Spencer

**No Comment** This will be a legal determination as to whether the proposal is ‘permitted development’.

**SDNP/21/04546/HOUS**

**DEMOLITION OF EXISTING ATTACHED GARAGE AND OUTBUILDINGS TO BE REPLACED WITH SINGLE STOREY WRAP AROUND EXTENSION AND INTERNAL ALTERATIONS**

72 Pulens Lane, Petersfield

Mr & Mrs Cook

**No Objection:** The design put forward is in principle appropriate.

**SDNP/21/04609/HOUS**

**SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION, NEW FRONT STEPS AND ENTRANCE CANOPY**

2 Cranford Road, Petersfield

Mr N Insley

**No Objection** We would prefer to see an extension with a pitched roof but that would be difficult to achieve on this site.

**SDNP/21/04650/TPO**

**T1 BEECH – FELL/REMOVE**

20 home Way, Petersfield  
Mr J Meacher

**Comment.** This tree should be felled only if it is in a dangerous condition or seriously diseased.  
**The applicants say that specialists suggest the tree is in good health.**

**SDNP/21/04671/HOUS  
INCREASE IN ROOF HEIGHT TO PROVIDE ACCOMMODATION AT FIRST FLOOR  
AND SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION**

1 Geddes Way, Petersfield  
Mrs K Matthews

**No Objection.** This is a well-designed extension with dormer windows proportionate to the new roof.

**SDNP/21/04722/HOUS  
HIP TO GABLE END CONVERSION WITH ASSOCIATED DORMERS TO CREATE  
ADDITIONAL LIVING AND SLEEPING ACCOMMODATION**

32 Station Road, Petersfield  
Mr M Pearce

**Comment.** The Planning Authority must be sure that the dormer windows accord fully with the recent Section 4 of the SDNP Draft Design Guide; they need to be proportionate and not dominate the roof. Also it is important that there will be no overlooking of neighbouring property.